Nonlinear PSE: Interaction between streamwise streaks and Tollmien-Schlichting waves



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics



Shervin Bagheri & Ardeshir Hanifi Linné Flow Centre, KTH Mechanics Stockholm, Sweden

Politecnica de Madrid, Spain, Feb 03, 2009

Outline & Aim of Presentation

• Observation:

The growth and breakdown of 2D Tollmien-Schlichting Waves are modified in the presence of streaky structures

How do these two disturbances interact? Can one be used to control the other?



- Outline:
 - 1. Background on transition to turbulence
 - 2. Summary of numerical & experimental results (Fransson, Brandt, Schlatter, de Lange, Talamelli, Cossu)
 - 3. Parametric study using nonliner PSE



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

Background

Flat-Plate Boundary Layer

- Receptivity
- Laminar (linear) region
- Transition
 - 1. Classical transition: Tollmien-Schlichting (TS) waves
 - 2. By-pass transition: Streaks
 - Turbulence



Sketch of a boundary layer developing on a flat plate



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

Classical Transition

- Low levels of background noise (<1%)
 - \rightarrow exponential modal growth



Schlichting (1977)

Bypass Transition

- High levels of free-stream turbulence (>1%)
 - \rightarrow exponential growth of TS waves is "bypassed"



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics



U1

Matsubara & Alfredsson (2001)





Madrid, Feb 03, 2009

Shervin Bagheri

DNS: Stabilizing Effect

Evolution of streaks

• Evolution of TS waves



Streamwise streaks of low finite amplitude (<25%U1) are able to stabilize TS waves

Ref: Cossu & Brandt, Phys. Fluids (2002)

Experiments: Stabilizing Effect

Streaks generated by roughness

 TS waves generated by blowing and suction



Ref: Fransson, Brandt, Talamelli & Cossu, Phys. Fluids (2005)

Experiments: Transition Delay





Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics



Ref: Fransson, Talamelli, Brandt & Cossu, PRL (2006)



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

Parabolized Stability Equations

Aim of Present Study



- Can we capture the nonlinear interaction of streaks and TS waves with nonlinear PSE?
- What are the possibilities of optimizing the stabilization process, e.g. what is the optimal streak spacing?

Parabolized Stability Equations

- Developed by Herbert & Bertolotti (J. Fluid Mech, 1992)
- Convection dominated flows (e.g. flat-plate boundarylayer): all disturbances are convected downstream
- Effect of disturbance upstream is very small → neglect terms (elliptic) in Navier-Stokes
- Model nonparallel and nonlinear effects at fractional cost of DNS
- PSE reproduces established experimental & numerical results on transition up to the breakdown stage



Formulation

• Navier-Stokes equations and continuity (incompressible formulation) governing disturbance behavior



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{U} + (\mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + \nabla p - Re^{-1}\nabla^{2}\mathbf{u} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Baseflow:
$$U = (U(x, y), V(x, y), 0)$$

• Perturbation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(x,y,z,t) &= & \ddot{\mathbf{q}}(x,y)\exp\left(\imath\Theta(x,z,t)\right) \\ \Theta(x,z,t) &= & \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \alpha(x) \ dx + \beta z - \omega t \end{aligned}$$

Modal (exponential) Growth

- Classical linear stability analysis for parallel flows Orr-Sommerfeld equations, evolution of eigenmodes
- Grow in finite region in streamwise region (between branch I & II)
- Takes into account nonparallel and nonlinear effects
- Described by PSE scaling

$$egin{array}{rcl} U, u, v, w &\sim & U_\infty \ V &\sim & U_\infty \delta_N \end{array}$$

- $V \sim U_{\infty}\delta/L \quad \delta \ll E$
- Neglect





$$Re_{\delta} = \frac{\delta U_{\infty}}{\nu}$$



Nonmodal Growth

Streamwise vortices in a shear flow → streamwise streaks

Taylor 1939,..., Landahl 1980, Ellingsen & Palm 1975...



- Related to non normality of the global linearised operator (Trefethen *et al.* 1993, Schmid & Hennigson 2001)
- Described by boundary layer scalings (neglect * the second to describe the second to the second test and test and

 $\begin{array}{rccc} (U,u,w) & \sim & U_{\infty} \\ (V,v) & \sim & U_{\infty} \delta/L & & \delta \ll \mathbb{E} \end{array}$

Modal vs. Nonmodal Stability

- Range of frequencies and spanwise wavenumbers well separated for streamwise streaks and TS waves
- Modified PSE = PSE scalings + BLE scalings



Modified Parabolized Stability Equations

• PSE scalings + BLE scalings + nonlinear terms

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 &=& (i\alpha U - i\omega)u + uU_{x} + vU_{y} + Uu_{x} + Vu_{y} + p_{x} + i\alpha p + Re^{-1}(k^{2}u - u_{yy}) + F_{u} \\ 0 &=& (i\alpha U - i\omega)v + uV_{x} + vV_{u} + Uv_{x} + Vv_{y} + p_{y} + Re^{-1}(k^{2}v - v_{yy}) + F_{v} \\ 0 &=& (i\alpha U - i\omega)w + Uw_{x} + Vw_{y} + i\beta p_{y} + Re^{-1}(k^{2}w - w_{yy}) + F_{w} \\ 0 &=& u_{x} + i\alpha u + i\beta w + v_{y} \end{array}$$

Parabolized set of equations (march in space!)

$$\partial_x \mathbf{q} = \mathcal{L} \mathbf{q} + \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{q})$$

 $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}_0 \quad \text{at} \quad x = 0$

 NOLOT code (Hanifi etal,1994) 4th-order compact finite difference in y 1st, 2nd-order backward Euler in x Small ellipticity can be stabilized (Andersson etal, J. Eng. Math,1998)





Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

Results

Validation: TS waves

• Linear stability computations



Validation: Streaks

- Initial condition spatial optimal disturbance: streamwise vortices (=0.45), see e.g. (Andersson etal 1999)
- Nonlinear computations start at downstream position



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics



Streak & Small-Amplitude TS waves

• Stabilizing effect of streaks increase with streak amplitude



• PSE can reproduce DNS results with fraction the DNS costs

Ref: Bagheri & Hanifi (Phys. Fluids, 2007)

Streak & Finite-Amplitude TS waves

No Streak:

- Classical H-type scenario
- TS-wave (2,0), 0.46%U₁
- Oblique wave (1,1), 0.0035% U₁
- Transition!

Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

With Streak:

- Streak mode (0,3) 9%U₁
- Streak doubling
- Transiton delayed!



Effect of Streak Spacing

- Fix maximum streak amplitude (10%)
 - Streak A: Optimal growing (linear) streak (=0.45)
- Streak B: (⁻=0.65)
- Streak A has larger 0.5amplitude in the unstable 0.4region of the TS wave 0.30.40.30.2
- Streak B has larger stabilizing effect!





Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics

Effect of Streak Spacing



- Streak B induces larger mean flow distortion
- Fuller velocity profile
 - Larger stabilzing effect



Optimal Growing vs. Optimal Stabilizing

 Choosing the streak spacing correctly the necessary Streak amplitude to completely stabilize TS wave can be reduced by a factor of two!



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics



Conclusions

- Using modified PSE the nonlinear interaction of streaks and TS-waves can be captured
 - 1. Stabilization effect
 - 2. Transition delay
 - Optimal stabilization effect:
 - 1. is not obtained for the streak with the largest amplitude
 - 2. is obtained for the streak which induces largest mean flow distortion in the unstable region of the TS wave
- At KTH (experimental & numerical):
 - 1. Vortex generators to generate streaks
 - 2. Robustness to noise



Linné Flow Centre KTH Mechanics