Effects of small noise on the DMD/Koopman spectrum



Shervin Bagheri Linné Flow Centre Dept. Mechanics, KTH, Stockholm

Sig33, Sandhamn, Stockholm, Sweden May, 29, 2013

Questions

- 1. What are effects of noise on self-sustained oscillations?
- 2. How sensitive are self-sustained oscillations to noise?
- 3. Can we quantify the sensitivity?

Combustion Experiment

- Thermoacoustic oscillations
 - Feedback loop between acoustic field and unsteady heat release
 - White noise introduced by a loudspeaker
 - Measure pressure and heat release



Jegadeesan & Sujith, Proc. Comb. Inst. 2013



Observations: Combustion

• Observed "drift" of the phase of pressure oscillations

4 cycles:
 measuremenst in phase
 with harmonic signal

100 cycles:
 phase drifted 45 degrees

Lieuwen, J. Sound & Vibration. 2001





From Experiments

- White noise induce a new time scale
 - Phase drift increases exponentially with rate 1/ au
 - Auto-correlation functions decreases exponentially with rate 1/ au
- Noisy limit cycle characterized by two time scales:
 - Limit cycle period: T
 - Quality factor: $Q = \frac{\tau}{T}$

(*Q* is number of oscillations which periodicity is maintained)

Koopman Operator

- Time evolution of observables
 - governed by Koopman operator (Mezic, 2005, Rowley etal 2009)
 - approximated by Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) (Schmid 2010)
 - can be used to obtain time-correlation functions

Thus time scale $\, \tau \,$ should be present in the spectrum of the Koopman operator

Stochastic Navier-Stokes

• Consider

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) + \sqrt{\epsilon}\hat{\xi}(t)$$

- state: $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- noise amplitude: ϵ
- Gaussian white noise:

$$\langle \hat{\xi} \rangle = 0$$

 $\langle \hat{\xi}(t) \hat{\xi}(t') \rangle = Q\delta(t - t')$

Evolution of Ensemble Average

- Given an observable $g(\mathbf{u})$
 - Ensemble average of an observable at time t

$$\langle g(\mathbf{u}) \rangle_t = \int g(\mathbf{u}) \rho(\mathbf{u}, t) d\mathbf{u}$$

 $ho({f u},t)d{f u}$: probability that a state will found in $d{f u}$

- Write ensemble average with linear evolution operators:

$$\langle g(\mathbf{u}) \rangle_t = \langle g(\mathbf{u}), \mathcal{L}_t^{\dagger} \rho_0(\mathbf{u}) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}_t g(\mathbf{u}), \rho_0(\mathbf{u}) \rangle$$

$$\uparrow$$
Koopman operator

Eigenvalues of Koopman Operator

- Koopman operator is linear
 - Eigenvalue decomposition:

$$\mathcal{L}_t g_j^*(\mathbf{u}) = \lambda_j g_j^*(\mathbf{u}), \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

 At leading order the trace formula gives values for limit cycle: (Gaspard, J. Stat. Phys. 2002)

$$\lambda_m = im\omega - \epsilon \frac{|S|}{2T} \omega^2 m^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

$$m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

 $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$
 $S = \text{sensitivity}$

Koopman eigenvalues for a noisy limit cycle



Koopman Spectrum

• Koopman eigenvalues in the presence of noise

$$\lambda_m = im\omega - \epsilon \frac{|S|}{2T}\omega^2 m^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \qquad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

- Non-stationary eigenvalues are damped!
- New time scale: τ (rate of phase diffusion)
- Proportional to S (sensitivity)



DMD of Noisy System: Helium Jet

- DMD (often) approximates Koopman eigenvalues
- Input: Sequence of snapshots (from experiments)



DMD of Noisy System: Helium Jet

• Output: DMD modes (coherent structures)



Schmid, Li, Juniper & Pust, TCFD, 2011



- Parabolas are due to the presence weak noise
- DMD spectrum reveals two time scales

• How sensitive is a limit cycle to noise?

$$\lambda_m = im\omega - \epsilon \frac{|S|}{2T}\omega^2 m^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

- Large $|S| \rightarrow$ high rate of phase diffusion
- What is the explicit expression for S ?

Stochastic Navier-Stokes

• The stochastic system

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) + \sqrt{\epsilon}\hat{\xi}(t) \qquad \dim = n$$

can in limit of $\epsilon \to 0~$ be written as

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}) + 2Q\mathbf{p} \qquad \text{dim} = 2n$$
$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \left[-\nabla \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})\right]^T \mathbf{p}$$

- where $\mathbf{p}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an "adjoint variable"
- $\mathbf{p} = 0 \rightarrow$ noiseless system
- $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$ \rightarrow system with noise

Onsager & Machlup, Phys. Rev. 1953

Hamiltonian System

• Hamiltonian: $H(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^T Q\mathbf{p}$

- Hamilton equations:
$$\dot{\mathbf{u}} = + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{p}}$$
 $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$

"Energy" conserved along trajectories (constant of motion)

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad H(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) = E$$

"Energy" is measure of deviation of noisy trajectory from its deterministic prediction

System with One Limit Cycle

• Noise-less limit cycle



$$\mathbf{u}(T) = \mathbf{u}(0)$$



"Energy"

E = 0

– The original state-space is now the subspace $\mathbf{p}=0$

System with Noisy Limit Cycle

- Noisy limit cycle u_1 of period $T + \delta T$ $\mathbf{p}=0$ $\mathbf{u}(T+\delta T) = \mathbf{u}(0)$ E = 0 $\mathbf{p}(T + \delta T) = \mathbf{p}(0)$ u_{2} E_2 • "Energy" E_1 p_2 $E = \delta E$ p_1
 - Dimension of original state space is doubled to accommodate for limit cycles of perturbed period

- Consider "Energy" $E = \epsilon/\tau$
- How does a small perturbation of "energy" affect the time period?

 $E \to E + \delta E$ $T \to T + \delta T$

- define sensitivity as

$$\delta T = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial E}\right)\delta E = S\delta E$$

- $\partial_E T$ large → a small perturbation of "energy", gives large deviation from deterministic time period

• Small δT we may linearize system

$$\delta \dot{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{u}) + 2Q\delta \mathbf{p}$$
$$\delta \dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{u})\delta \mathbf{p}$$

Write solution as

$$\delta \mathbf{u}(T) = \mathbf{M}_T \delta \mathbf{u}(0) + \mathbf{N}_T \delta \mathbf{p}(0)$$
$$\delta \mathbf{p}(T) = (\mathbf{M}_T^{-1})^T \delta \mathbf{p}(0)$$

Floquet expansion

$$\mathbf{M}_T = \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k \Lambda_k \psi_k^T$$

23

Sensitivity

• One obtains the expression

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial E} = -\frac{\psi_1^T \delta \mathbf{u}(T)}{\psi_1^T \phi_1}$$

if system is non-normal then

$$\psi_1^T \phi_1 \ll 1$$

and

$$S = \frac{\partial T}{\partial E} \gg 1$$

24

• One obtains the expression

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial E} = -\frac{\psi_1^T \delta \mathbf{u}(T)}{\psi_1^T \phi_1}$$

 $\delta {\bf u}(T)\;$ is obtained by solving linearized equations with initial conditions:

$$\delta \mathbf{u}(0) = 0 \qquad \quad \delta \mathbf{p}(0) = \psi_1$$

Conclusions

- Sensitivity
 - Noisy limit cycle may deviate from deterministic cycle if system is highly non-normal
- Quality factor can be read of the DMD spectrum
 - Look into DMD literature: you observe parabolic branches!
 - Important for control purposes
 - Determine whether randomness is due external noise or some intrinsic dynamics